


Attorney's Docket No. 5051.401XXDV



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Serial No.: Michalowski, et al.

09/816,894

Group Art Unit: 1635 Examiner: Mary Schmidt

Filed:

For:

March 23, 2001

MATRIX ATTACHMENT REGIONS

RECEIVED

September 11, 2002

SEP 1 9 2002

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement of July 22, 2002. Reconsideration in light of the amendments above and the remarks below is respectfully requested.

It is noted that Claims 1-21 have been restricted based on the recitation of different sequences therein. However, only Claims 1-19 recite SEQ ID Nos. 1-13. Claims 20 and 21 do not recite SEQ ID numbers, and should be separately grouped.

Applicants hereby elect Claims 20 and 21 for substantive examination herein.

Applicants have not cancelled Claims 1-19 herein (which were the subject of the issued parent case, in which all SEQ ID numbers were grouped together), because a reformulation of the restriction requirement is being proposed herein. If the above reformulation is suitable to the Examiner, then the Examiner may cancel Claims 1-19 by Examiner's Amendment and proceed with examination of Claims 20 and 21.

If any questions remain concerning the response above, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the Applicants' undersigned representative so that they may be expeditiously resolved.

Respectfully submitted

Kenneth D. Sibley

Registration No. 31,665

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re:

Michalowski, et al.

Serial No.:

09/816,894

Filed:

March 23, 2001

Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on September 11, 2002.

Vickie Diane Prior

Date of Signature: September 11, 2002